

LOCAL GOVERNMENT PENSION SCHEME

Myths exposed

Inaccurate information and misleading statements about the Local Government Pension Scheme (LGPS) are rife in the media. This guide highlights the most prevalent and erroneous of these myths and sets out the realities of the LGPS.

UNIONS TOGETHER FIGHTING TO



**PROTECT
OUR PENSIONS**

**UNISON
GMB
Unite
Napo
UCATT
Aspect
FBU
NIPSA
NUJ
NUT**

CONTACT YOUR UNION FOR DETAILS OF WHAT'S HAPPENING IN YOUR WORKPLACE

Myth

Workers in the private sector have to pay for the LGPS while local government workers reap the benefits

Reality

Everyone pays for everyone else's pension. Companies with occupational pension provision for their employees include pension costs when pricing their goods and services. All taxpayers pay for the cost of inadequate pension saving (increasingly prevalent in the private sector) through the tax and national insurance spent on increased take up of state benefits and demand on NHS and council care services.

Myth

25% of council tax is spent on the LGPS

Reality

This misrepresentation deliberately ignores the fact that 75% of local authority income comes from sources other than council tax. The true figure as reflected by the Society of County Treasurers is around 5% (£65 a year for the average council taxpayer).

Myth

LGPS costs are soaring and the scheme is unsustainable

Reality

The cost of the LGPS to employers for service from April 2008 (2009 in Scotland and Northern Ireland) was reduced during the reforms to the scheme that included changed benefits and higher average member contributions. It is now below 12.2% for future service. In contrast, member contributions increased in the new schemes by 0.5% on average and have continued to rise since, now standing 0.8% above the old schemes' member contribution rates. The introduction of cost sharing in the new scheme was designed to manage future funding volatility. Costs associated with service before the new scheme was introduced should have been funded by employers in the past. These costs cannot be reduced by changing the scheme for current or future members.

Myth

Local government pensions are paid directly by the taxpayer

Reality

The LGPS, like all private sector defined benefit schemes, is a funded scheme with real investments in UK and overseas business and tangible assets such as property all generating returns to the 101 funds that make up the Local Government Pension Scheme in the UK. The taxpayer funds a proportion of the employer contribution to the funds through local and national taxation.

Myth

The employer contribution rate in the LGPS is too high

Reality

There is not one employer contribution rate in the LGPS. There are over 7,000 participating employers in the scheme and each has their contribution set by the private sector actuary employed by the relevant one of the 100 funds in Great Britain. Current employer contribution rates based on the last actuarial valuation in 2007 range from 14% to 25% with an average of 18%, (including contributions towards deficit repayment). Given the level of past underfunding of the scheme that remains to be resolved by many employers, this is a reasonable level. At the 2010 valuation the level may change because the future service cost has dropped as a result of the 2008 reforms and the major cost saving for employers resulting from the cut in indexation announced by the government in the 2010 Budget. However, the legacy of past underfunding by employers remains in many, although not all, funds so deficit payment contributions will still be required.

Myth

The LGPS is only nominally funded

Reality

The LGPS has more than £150bn in real assets: property, investments in UK and overseas businesses, cash and government bonds. Four out of the largest 20 pension funds in the UK measured by asset level are Local Government Pension Funds [Hewitt 2010]. Total income to the scheme exceeds expenditure by £4-5bn every year [CLG 2010], even in the current climate of poor economic performance. Even in the depths of the recession LGPS investments provided nearly £3bn for the LGPS in England alone, accounting for 27% of that scheme's income. Another factor contributing to the ongoing viability of the scheme to this is the increase in member contributions built into the 2008 reforms. Yield from employees has increased by 17.5% as a result of the new contribution rates [CLG 2010].

Myth

Scheme members retire on gold-plated pensions, protected for life

Reality

Around half of LGPS pensions in payment are below £3,000 a year [Audit Commission 2010]. The mean average pension is £4,200 with the average for women only £2,870 [CLG 2010]. As with any pension scheme member's accrued rights, it was generally held that pensions already paid for were protected for life, however, the unilateral cut in the indexation of pensions from RPI to CPI has brought this into question for both public and private sector pensions. As a result of the 2010 Emergency Budget LGPS members are likely to lose a quarter of the value of their pensions over the next 25 years pushing many more on to means tested benefits.

Myth

High earners in the LGPS receive unreasonably high pensions

Reality

In local government, highly paid employees are in the same pension scheme as the workers near the minimum wage. In the private sector many company directors and senior managers set up their own exclusive defined benefit schemes on extremely generous terms while their employees have only a low value defined contribution scheme. The average accrued pension for a director in the private sector is £227,726pa, 56 times higher than the average LGPS pension [TUC PensionsWatch 2010]. Some members of the LGPS retire on very high pensions as a result of receiving very high salaries (236 local government employees earn more than £142,500pa), not as a result of an over-generous pension scheme.

Myth

Local government workers have a job for life and better pay than everyone else

Reality

The average length of membership in the pension scheme is only six years - in stark contrast to the vision of a job for life. Existing jobs are often part time and low paid with minimal opportunity for overtime and other mechanisms common in the private sector to boost income. When comparing full time workers who are saving for retirement through an occupational pension scheme, public sector workers actually earn £22 per week less than their private sector comparators. The 'total reward figure', which is gross pay and employers' pension contributions, in the private sector is £666 and in the public sector is £644 per week [ONS 2010]. Local government pay is also low in the public sector context with 70% of local government workers earning less than £21,000 a year.

Myth

To make pensions fair public sector provision must be reduced to the level common in the private sector

Reality

This would increase the number of older people forced to live in poverty which in turn will increase the cost to the taxpayer of state benefits, health and care services. It is never the right solution to inequality to stoop to the level of the lowest common denominator. In education the solution to problem of good schools and bad schools is not to worsen the good schools so all children are poorly educated. In pensions the solution is not to worsen the good schemes but to raise the standard of the inadequate schemes. In fact defined benefit pension provision in the private sector attracts a future service employer contribution of 15% [DWP Employers Pension Provision Survey 2009] compared with 12% in the LGPS.

Myth

LGPS benefits need to be cut or member contributions increased because of deficits in the funds

Reality

The LGPS is estimated to be at least 75% funded with sufficient assets to pay all pensions due for the next 20 years without any further contributions [Audit Commission 2010]. Where deficits exist they relate to past service and underfunding by employers. One reason for current deficits is that LGPS funds were between 1990 and 1993 encouraged by the then Conservative government to fund only to 75% so the pension scheme could fund lower poll tax bills. Now deficits are measured against a 100% funding requirement, the cost of this historic underfunding is clear. Changes to benefits would only affect the future service cost which, as set out above, is already below the private sector average for defined benefit provision. The 3.2% increase in member contributions announced by George Osborne in October 2010 will not improve the scheme's funding level. Quite the reverse. The additional income will pass through the scheme to the Treasury as employer contributions to the LGPS are reduced by the same amount as the increase in employee contributions (1.04bn). This money is being deducted from council and devolved budgets thereby reducing the income to the majority of LGPS employers.

Myth

The current economic situation means member contributions to the LGPS need to be increased

Reality

Benefits already earned by members have to be paid, whatever changes are made to the scheme or member contribution rates. The tax the Treasury has introduced on LGPS members will price many out of the scheme, resulting in fewer contributions going into the fund and a reduction in UK pension saving. The collapse in participation rates that will occur if the Treasury's £billion tax is levied on LGPS members will mean that the savings the contribution increase is supposed to yield will fail to do so. The fall in income will further mean that the LGPS will quickly move from having an annual cash flow surplus of £4bn to deficit, causing more problems for local authorities and council taxpayers.

Members are currently subject to a three year pay freeze, without the protection for the lowest earners that exists in other parts of the public sector. Thousands of members of the LGPS earn only 37p an hour above the minimum wage and many lower earning potential LGPS members already opt out of the scheme on grounds of affordability. The current economic situation is already causing members to opt out of the scheme with overall participation rates falling in the last few years.

MYTH

LGPS members retire at 60 and get a pension for nothing

REALITY

The normal retirement age in the LGPS is 65 and has been for many years. Members of the scheme currently contribute between 5.5% and 7.5% of earnings depending on salary, averaging over 6.6% overall. This is already more than double the amount the average member of a defined contribution scheme contributes. Applying the 3.2% increase the Chancellor outlined in the Comprehensive Spending Review could mean some members' contributions more than double to 15% while even those on moderate salaries - e.g. £20,000 - could have to find an extra £1,100 a year in order to stay in the scheme.

MYTH

The new LGPS only affects new starters while existing members have their own preferential scheme

REALITY

Reforms to the LGPS affected all contributing scheme members, existing and new. The LGPS is not a two tier scheme, the LGPS 2008 (2009 in Scotland and Northern Ireland) is the scheme for any one of the two million people working in LGPS covered employment whether they started ten years ago, ten minutes ago or are due to start tomorrow. Existing members sacrificed benefits and increased their contributions in order to keep the scheme sustainable. The LGPS is the largest pension scheme in the country with more than 2m contributing members, 1m deferred members and a further 1m pensioner members.

MYTH

If I'm not in the LGPS, what happens to the scheme is irrelevant to me

REALITY

If the LGPS is wound up or decimated by a collapse in member participation rates, the £150bn the LGPS funds hold in assets will stop being invested in the UK economy in the way it is now. Currently a substantial proportion of these assets are shares in UK businesses, this investment is directly threatened by the 3.2% pension tax the Chancellor has announced. As the largest pension scheme in the UK, sudden changes in investment behaviour by these funds will have repercussions across the economy. With fewer members paying into the scheme and less time to spread the costs of paying the pensions that are owed, the pressure on funds to hold assets in lower risk investments (such as bonds) increases. Similarly the risk of default in meeting the costs of pensions owed increases, exposing the council taxpayer to the risk of having to bail out funds that can't pay what they owe. It is therefore in everyone's short term interest that the scheme is kept sustainable as well as being in society's interest that workers are not forced to rely solely on welfare benefits in retirement.

